Giant cable companies should not be permitted to grow larger. Further consolidation in the cable industry is a clear violation of horizontal ownership rules that must be re-established to serve the public interest.

The concentration of power and control over distribution of media is a growing problem in this country. Though we have more channels available than ever before, they are under the operation of a handful of giant corporations.

If Comcast and Time
Warner are allowed
to merge with
Adelphia, the two
companies will
control nearly 50
percent of the
national market.
This level of
concentration in the
cable industry will
lead to higher
consumer rates and

lower quality service.

Here in St. Albans, WV — since our local, \"small\" cable company was bought out by one of these giant, my cable rate has more then tripled. Yes, I do have more channels, but I also have little choice. The pricing structures are such that in order to receive the few cable channels I want — such as the Sci Fi Channel or CNN, I must purchase many, many channels I never, ever watch - such as the Golf or Soap Channels. So, when Giant Cable assure me my cost per channel has gone down that may be technically true, except the cost to me for watching the TV I want has more then tripled. Enough is enough. You are supposed to act in my interest. Well my interest is

NOT served by making these giants even larger.

Oh! And the service? Horrible. You\'ll want to chuckle over this one: One day, while watching the end of some movie, my cable went out. Coincidentally (I thought) a TV cable truck was in front of my house. I pulled on my shoes and walked out to tell him my cable just went out, and since he was on the block, could he fix it? He looked puzzled and said, \"Of course your cable went out. That\'s what happens when you don\'t pay your bill or try to steal cable?\" \"WHAT????\" I screamed! We pay our bill. We have never had so much as a day late payment!\" The cable guy duely called the corporate office first, and

\"Yes,\" they said, \"Disconnect 2718 Lakeview St. Albans for non-payment.\" I flew into the house and returned with my bank statement to prove the bill had been paid. \"At least for this past month. If you like, I\'ll dig up previous statements showing payment as far back as you like.\" The cable guy now called the local company (he had the magic local number — the number us local customers aren\'t given.) and found (of couse) that the whole thing was all a mistake. Seems I had called the corporate headquarters the previous month to report a broken cable box remote. I already had received a new remote, but some corporate switchboard operator had keyed in to my account a numbered code that indicated

my service should be terminated for non payment.

Now... it does not seem that their error resulted in a lowering of our credit rating. But it easily could have — costing my family how much money and hassle?

From my perspective
- if Giant Cable
can\'t handle the
customer load they
have now without
errors like this —
why on earth do you
think they should be
allowed to get even
larger?

I mean — put
yourself in my
shoes. They
procedures are so
tangled and
inefficient that
some stupid clerical
error could well
have cost my family
hundreds of dollars!
No they shouldn\'t
get any bigger!
Give people who are
abusing their

franchise (remember,
my monthly bill has
more then TRIPLED)
and endangering the
public (reporting a
broken remote
results in a
disconnect-for-nonpayment-or-cable-theft
order) should not be
rewarded for such
poor service.

Local information?
Oh come on ... Yes, we do have a channel that shills for local real estate offerings. And although our cable company does carry the local network affiliates, I still have little local variety.

But pretend James
Madison were in the
room. And ask him
(in your head,)
\"Mr. Madison, do
you think it is a
good idea to let 5
companies control
the 90% of American
voters most
easily-accesed
information?\" Ask
yourself honestly.

If your American
History teacher was
as good as mine you
will find that your
internalized Madison
will answer. \"No,
of course not!\"